REMARKS

Claims 26, 27 and 34-39 were currently pending in the Application. Applicant has amended claims 26, 27 and 34 and canceled 36 without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicant respectfully requests entry of the above amendments and consideration of the enclosed remarks. The Amendments are submitted solely to place the present application in condition for allowance or in better condition for appeal. Applicant submits that no new matter is added. Accordingly, claims 26, 27 and 34-35 and 37-39 will remain pending in the application.

Drawing Objections

In section 2, the Examiner objected to the drawings. Applicants respectfully submit that the enclosed proposed corrected drawings of replacement sheet 3/5 show the door 411 and hinges 414, 416 that are supported at least at paragraph 63, the shielding 485 supported at least at paragraph 63 and the communications device supported at least at paragraph 76 with the associated amendments to the specification. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner enter the amendments and withdraw the objection.

Claim Objections

In section 3, the Examiner objected to claim 36. Applicant has canceled claim 36 and amended claim 27 as suggested by the Examiner. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the objection.

Claim Rejections

In section 4, the Examiner rejected claims 26, 34, 38 and 39 under 35 U.S.C. section 102 as allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0020978A1 to Webb.

In response, Applicant traverses the rejection. However, Applicant has amended claims 26, 27 and 34 without prejudice or disclaimer and respectfully

submits that such amendment places the claims in condition for allowance or better condition for appeal.

As noted by the Examiner in the Response to Arguments section of the Office Action, the claims now more positively recite the keyed start swith requiring a physical key to be used to engage the switch.

Applicant respectfully submits that the present claims are patentable over the cited prior art. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 26, 34, 38 and 39 are in condition for allowance.

In section 9, the Examiner rejected claims 27, 36 and 37 under 35 U.S.C. section 103(a) as allegedly rendered obvious by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0020978A1 to Webb in view of Beckert (US6948653).

In response, Applicant traverses the rejection. However, Applicant has amended claims 26, 27 and 34 without prejudice or disclaimer and canceled claim 36. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 27 and 37 are in condition for allowance or better condition for appeal.

With regard to Beckert, the patent is not available as prior art unless supported by the underlying provisional. Applicant respectfully notes that the underlying provisional does not appear to support the cited passages and thus is not available as prior art.

Applicant respectfully submits that the present claims are patentable over the cited prior art. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 27 and 37 are in condition for allowance.

In section 11, the Examiner rejected claim 35 under 35 U.S.C. section 103(a) as allegedly rendered obvious by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0020978A1 to Webb in view of Cunningham (US3346270).

In response, Applicant traverses the rejection. However, Applicant has amended claim 26 without prejudice or disclaimer. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 35 is in condition for allowance or better condition for appeal.

Applicant respectfully submits that the present claim is patentable over the cited prior art. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 35 is in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION OF REMARKS

For at least the reasons stated above, it is respectfully submitted that the remaining claims of this application are in condition for allowance and early and favorable action thereon is requested.

If the Examiner believes that additional issues may be resolved by a telephone interview, the Examiner is respectfully urged to telephone the undersigned attorney for Applicant at (203) 924-3180.

AUTHORIZATION

No fee is believed due with this response. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required for the response or credit any overpayment to the Pitney Bowes, Inc. Deposit Account Number 16-1885, Order No. F-428.

In the event that an extension of time or additional extension of time is required to make this response timely filed, the Commissioner is requested to grant a petition for that extension of time that is required to make this response timely. The

Serial No.: 09/683,417 Patent

Attorney Docket No.: F-428

Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee for such an extension of time or credit any overpayment for an extension of time to the Pitney Bowes, Inc. Deposit Account Number 16-1885, Order No. F-428.

Respectfully submitted,

George M. Macdonald

Reg. No. 39,284

Attorney of Record

Telephone (203) 924-3180

PITNEY BOWES INC.

Intellectual Property and Technology Law Department

35 Waterview Drive, P.O. Box 3000

Shelton, CT 06484-8000

Amendment To The Drawings

Please enter the attached 1 replacement sheet of drawings (sheet 3/5).